



**You have downloaded a document from
RE-BUS
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice**

Title: Boundary values of the solutions of the parabolic equation

Author: Kazimierz Lichawski

Citation style: Lichawski Kazimierz. (1985). Boundary values of the solutions of the parabolic equation. "Annales Mathematicae Silesianae" (Nr 13 (1985), s. 66-88).



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

KAZIMIERZ LICHAWSKI

BOUNDARY VALUES OF THE SOLUTIONS OF THE PARABOLIC EQUATION

Abstract. The paper deals with the problem of the behaviour of a given solution of a quasi-linear parabolic equation near the parabolic boundary. Necessary and sufficient conditions for weak and strong convergence in the Sobolev space $W_p^{1,1}$, $p \geq 2$, are given.

1. Introduction. In the theory of partial differential equations the problem of the behaviour of the given solution near the boundary arises in a natural way. A problem arises while determining if the given solution has trace on the boundary. Several function spaces arise as the spaces of traces of solutions of partial differential equations. The purpose of this paper is to obtain conditions giving L^p -traces on the boundary of generalized solutions of a quasi-linear parabolic equation. Section 2 deals with the problem of weak convergence of traces for solutions in the Sobolev space $W_p^{1,1}$, $p \geq 2$. Section 3 extends these results to strong convergence. The arguments which we give here are based partially on the references [1], [7] and [8].

2. Weak convergence. Consider the quasi-linear parabolic equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(t, x) u_{x_i})_{x_j} - b(t, x, u, u_x) - u_t = 0$$

in a cylinder $D = (0, T] \times Q$, where $Q \subset R^n$ is a bounded domain with the boundary ∂Q of the class C^2 , $u_{x_i} = D_{x_i} u$, $u_x = (u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n})$. Let us denote $r(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial Q)$. We make the following assumptions:

(A) There is a positive constant $\gamma > 1$ such that

Received October 20, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1980). Primary 35K10.

$$\gamma^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \gamma |\xi|^2$$

for all $\xi \in R^n$ and $(t, x) \in \bar{D}$.

(B) The coefficients a_{ij} belong to $C^1(\bar{D})$.

(C) The function $b(t, x, u, s)$ is defined for $(t, x, u, s) \in D \times R^{n+1}$ and satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) for a.e. $(t, x) \in D$, $b(t, x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a continuous function on R^{n+1} ,
- (ii) for every fixed $(u, s) \in R^{n+1}$, $b(\cdot, \cdot, u, s)$ is a measurable function on D ,
- (iii) for all $(t, x, u, s) \in D \times R^{n+1}$

$$|b(t, x, u, s)| \leq f(t, x) + L(|u| + |s|),$$

where L is a positive constant and $f: D \rightarrow R$ is a non-negative measurable function such that

$$\int_D \int f(t, x)^p r(x)^\theta dx dt < \infty$$

for some constants p, θ for which $1 < p < \infty$, $p \leq \theta < 2p - 1$.

REMARK 1. Under the assumption (C) the composition $b(t, x, u(t, x), s(t, x))$ is measurable when $u(t, x), s(t, x)$ are measurable and the mapping

$$b(t, x, \cdot, \cdot): L^1_{loc}(D)^{n+1} \rightarrow L^1_{loc}(D)$$

is continuous, (see [6]).

In the sequel we use the notion of a generalized solution involving the Sobolev spaces: $W^{1,1}_{loc,p}(D)$, $W^{1,0}_p(D)$, $\dot{W}^{1,0}_p(D)$. We denote by $W^{1,1}_{loc,p}(D)$ the Sobolev space of real functions u such that u and its distributional derivatives $u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n}, u_t$ belong to $L^p_{loc}(D)$ and by $W^{1,0}_p(D)$ the Sobolev space of real functions u such that u and its distributional derivatives u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n} belong to $L^p(D)$. The space of the functions u which belong to $W^{1,0}_p(D)$ and such that $\text{supp } u \subset \text{Int } D$ we denote by $\dot{W}^{1,0}_p(D)$.

DEFINITION. A function u is said to be a *weak solution of the equation (1) in D* if $u \in W^{1,1}_{loc,p}(D)$ and u satisfies

$$(2) \quad \int_D \int \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) u_{x_i} v_{x_j} dx dt + \int_D \int b(t, x, u, u_x) v dx dt + \int_D \int u_t v dx dt = 0$$

for every $v \in \dot{W}^{1,0}_p(D)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

It follows from the regularity of the boundary ∂Q that there is a number $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ the domain $Q_\delta = Q \cap \{x: \min_{y \in \partial Q} |x-y| > \delta\}$ with the boundary ∂Q_δ , possesses the following property: to each $x_0 \in \partial Q$ we can assign a unique point $x_\delta(x_0) = x_0 - \delta \nu(x_0)$, where $\nu(x_0)$ is the outward normal to ∂Q at x_0 . The inverse mapping to

$x_0 \rightarrow x_\delta(x_0)$ is given by the formula $x_0 = x_\delta + \delta \nu_\delta(x_\delta)$, where $\nu_\delta(x_\delta)$ is the outward normal to ∂Q_δ at x_δ .

Let x_δ denote an arbitrary point of ∂Q_δ . For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ introduce the sets

$$A_\varepsilon = \partial Q_\delta \cap \{x : |x - x_\delta| < \varepsilon\}$$

$$B_\varepsilon = \{x : x = \tilde{x}_\delta + \delta \nu_\delta(\tilde{x}_\delta), \tilde{x}_\delta \in \partial Q_\delta \cap \{x : |x - x_\delta| < \varepsilon\}\}$$

and put

$$\frac{dS_\delta}{dS_0}(x_\delta) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|A_\varepsilon|}{|B_\varepsilon|},$$

where $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set A . It was proved by Michailov [8] that there is a positive number γ_0 such that

$$(3) \quad \gamma_0^{-1} \leq \frac{dS_\delta}{dS_0} \leq \gamma_0$$

and

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{dS_\delta}{dS_0}(x_\delta(x_0)) = 1$$

uniformly with respect to $x_0 \in \partial Q$.

According to Lemma 1 in [3, p. 382], the distance $r(x)$ belongs to $C^2(\bar{Q} - Q_{\delta_0})$ if δ_0 is sufficiently small. Denote by $\varrho(x)$ the extension of the function $r(x)$ into \bar{Q} satisfying the following properties: $\varrho(x) = r(x)$ for $x \in \bar{Q} - Q_{\delta_0}$, $\varrho \in C^2(\bar{Q})$, $\varrho(x) \geq \frac{3\delta_0}{4}$ in Q_{δ_0} , $\gamma_1^{-1} r(x) \leq \varrho(x) \leq \gamma_1 r(x)$ in Q for some positive constant γ_1 , $\partial Q_\delta = \{x : \varrho(x) = \delta\}$, $|\varrho_x(x)| = 1$ for $x \in \bar{Q} - Q_{\delta_0}$, $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ and finally $\partial Q = \{x : \varrho(x) = 0\}$, $\varrho(x) > 0$ on Q .

Introduce the surface integral for $\mu, \delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $u \in W_{loc, p}^{1,1}(D)$

$$M(\mu, \delta) = \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} |u(t, x)|^p dS_\delta dt + \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\mu, x)|^p (r(x) - \delta) dx,$$

where the values of the function $u(t, x)$ on the n -dimensional manifold are understood in the sense of traces, (see [9]).

Let us denote

$$D_\delta^\mu = (\mu, T] \times Q_\delta, \quad \partial D_\delta^\mu = (\mu, T] \times \partial Q_\delta \cup \{\mu\} \times Q_\delta,$$

$$\partial D = [0, T] \times \partial Q \cup \{0\} \times Q \quad \text{and} \quad D_\delta = D_\delta^\delta.$$

Here ∂ means the parabolic boundary.

THEOREM 1. *Let u be a weak solution of (1) for fixed $p \geq 2$ and $\int_D u_t^2 |u|^{p-2} t^\beta dx dt < \infty$ for some $\beta < 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

I. $M(\delta, \mu)$ is bounded on $(0, \delta_0] \times (0, \delta_0]$,

$$\text{II. } \int_D \int u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} r(x) dx dt < \infty.$$

Proof. Let for $\mu, \delta \in (0, \delta_0]$

$$v(t, x) = \begin{cases} u(t, x) |u(t, x)|^{p-2} (\varrho(x) - \delta), & \text{for } (t, x) \in D_\delta^\mu \\ 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in D - D_\delta^\mu. \end{cases}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the well known property of weak derivatives $|u|_x = \text{sgn } u \cdot u_x$ it is easy to prove that v is an admissible test function in (2). Substituting v in (2) we obtain

$$(5) \quad \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} (u |u|^{p-2})_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u |u|^{p-2} \varrho_{x_j} dx dt + \\ + \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} b(t, x, u, u_x) u |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} u_t u |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = 0.$$

By the Green's formula we have

$$(6) \quad \left| \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u |u|^{p-2} \varrho_{x_j} dx dt \right| = \left| \frac{1}{p} \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} |u|^p \varrho_{x_j})_{x_i} dx dt + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{p} \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j})_{x_i} |u|^p dx dt \right| = \left| \frac{1}{p} \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \varrho_{x_i} \varrho_{x_j} |u|^p dS_\delta dt + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{p} \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j})_{x_i} |u|^p dx dt \right| \leq \frac{\gamma}{p} \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_\delta} |u|^p dS_\delta dt + \frac{C_1}{p} \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p dx dt,$$

$$\text{where } C_1 = \max_{(t,x) \in D} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j})_{x_i} \right|.$$

Integrating by parts the last integral in (5) we obtain

$$(7) \quad \int \int_{D_\delta^\mu} u_t u |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = \frac{1}{p} \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_\delta} |u|_t^p (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = \\ = \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\mu, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx.$$

Using the assumption (C) and Young's inequality we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad & \left| \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} bu |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt \right| \leq \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} f |u|^{p-1} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + \\
 & + L \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + L \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u_x| |u|^{p-1} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt \leq \\
 & \leq \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} f^p (\varrho - \delta)^\theta \, dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt + L \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + \\
 & + L\varepsilon \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{p-\theta}{p-1}$ and ε is any positive. The assumption (C) implies that $\alpha > -1$.

The first integral in (5) we can estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} (u |u|^{p-2})_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt = \\
 & = (p-1) \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt \geq \\
 & \geq \frac{p-1}{\gamma} \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus combining (5)–(9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{p-1}{\gamma} \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + \\
 & + \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx \leq \frac{\gamma}{p} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} |u|^p \, dS_\delta \, dt + \\
 & + \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\mu, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx + \frac{C_1}{p} \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p \, dx dt + \left(L + \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \right) \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + \\
 & + \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} f^p (\varrho - \delta)^\theta \, dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt + L\varepsilon \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε such that $\frac{p-1}{2\gamma} = L\varepsilon$ and reducing the last term we obtain from this inequality

$$(10) \quad \int\int_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + C_2 \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx \leq \\ \leq C_3 \int\int_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt + C_4 \int\int_D f^{pr} \, dx dt + C_5 \int\int_D |u|^p \, dx dt + C_6 M(\mu, \delta),$$

where $C_2 = \frac{2\gamma}{p(p-1)}$, $C_3 = C_4 = \frac{2\gamma}{p-1}$, $C_5 = \max \left\{ \left(L + \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{2\gamma\gamma_1}{p-1} \text{diam}(Q), \frac{2C_1\gamma}{p(p-1)} \right\}$ and $C_6 = \max \left\{ \frac{2\gamma^2}{p(p-1)}, \frac{2\gamma\gamma_1}{p(p-1)} \right\}$.

Let $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$, $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $x \in Q_{\delta_0}$. From the definition of the function ϱ it follows that $(\varrho(x) - \delta)^\alpha \leq \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^\alpha$ thus we obtain

$$\int\int_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt = \int_{\delta_0}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt + \int_{\mu}^T \int_{Q_\delta - Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt + \\ + \int_{\mu}^{\delta_0} \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt \leq \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^\alpha \int_{\delta_0}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p \, dx dt + \int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} (\nu - \delta)^\alpha \, d\nu \int_{\delta Q_\delta} |u|^p \, dS_\nu + \\ + \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mu}^{\delta_0} \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt \leq \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^\alpha \int_{\delta_0}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p \, dx dt + \\ + \frac{\delta_0^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \sup_{0 < \delta \leq \delta_0} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} |u|^p \, dS_\delta \, dt + \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^{\alpha-1} \delta_0 \sup_{0 < \mu \leq \delta_0} \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\mu, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) \, dx.$$

For $\alpha > 0$ we have $(\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \leq C_7$, where $C_7 = \max_Q [\varrho(x) - \delta]^\alpha$ so we obtain the following estimate

$$(11) \quad \int\int_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha \, dx dt < C_8$$

for $\alpha > -1$, $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$, $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$ where the constant C_8 is independent of δ and μ .

Now condition (10) implies the estimate

$$(12) \quad \int\int_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt \leq C_9$$

for $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$ and $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$ which we can write in the following form

$$\int_D u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} \eta(t, x, \mu, \delta) dx dt \leq C_9$$

where

$$\eta(t, x, \mu, \delta) = \begin{cases} \varrho(x) - \delta, & \text{for } (t, x) \in D_\delta^\mu \\ 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in D - D_\delta^\mu. \end{cases}$$

Hence and from the Monotone Convergence Theorem we obtain condition II what proves the implication $I \Rightarrow II$.

To prove the implication $II \Rightarrow I$ we show first that condition II implies (11). Let $\alpha > -1$, $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$, $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$ and

$$(14) \quad \int_{\tilde{D}_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt = \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt + \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_0 - Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt.$$

Integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt &= T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx - \mu \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u(\mu, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx - \\ &\quad - p \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} t |u|^{p-2} u u_t (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt \leq T \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^\alpha \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u(T, x)|^p dx + \\ &\quad + p \sqrt{T} \left[\int_\mu^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} u^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\delta_0}{4}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[\int_\mu^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} t |u|^{p-2} u_t^2 dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

thus there is a constant C_{10} such that for every $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$, $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$

$$(15) \quad \int_\mu^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^\alpha dx dt < C_{10}.$$

From condition II it follows that

$$\int_0^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0}} |u_x|^p dx dt < \infty$$

because $r(x) \geq \frac{3}{4} \delta_0$ for $x \in Q_{\delta_0}$ and thus $|u|^p \in W_p^{1,0}((0, T) \times Q_{\delta_0})$.

It is well known (see [9]), that such function has the trace on the parabolic boundary of $(0, T) \times Q_{\delta_0}$ and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p dS_{\delta_0} dt < \infty.$$

As $\varrho(x) = \delta_0$ for $x \in \partial Q_{\delta_0}$ thus there is a constant C_{11} such that

$$(16) \quad \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^a dS_{\delta_0} dt < C_{11}$$

for $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$ and $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$.

Using the mapping $x \rightarrow x_{\nu}(x)$, (3) and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^a dx dt &= \int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} (\nu - \delta)^a d\nu \int_{\partial Q_{\nu}} |u|^p dS_{\nu} \leq \\ &\leq \gamma_0 \int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} (\nu - \delta)^a d\nu \int_{\partial Q} |u(t, x_{\nu}(x))|^p dS = \\ &= \frac{(\nu - \delta)^{a+1}}{a+1} \gamma_0 \int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\partial Q} |u(t, x_{\nu}(x))|^p dS \Big|_{\nu=\delta}^{\nu=\delta_0} - \\ &- \frac{p\gamma_0}{a+1} \int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} (\nu - \delta)^{a+1} d\nu \int_{\partial Q} |u(t, x_{\nu}(x))|^p u_x(t, x(x)) u(t, x_{\nu}(x)) \frac{\partial x_{\nu}(x)}{\partial \nu} dS \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_0^{a+1} \gamma_0^2}{a+1} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p dS_{\delta_0} dt + \frac{p\gamma_0^2}{a+1} \int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} (\nu - \delta)^{a+1} d\nu \int_{\partial Q_{\nu}} |u|^{p-1} |u_x| dS_{\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\left| \frac{\partial x_{\nu}}{\partial \nu} \right| = 1$.

Now using (16) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^a dx dt &\leq \frac{\delta_0^{a+1} \gamma_0^2}{a+1} C_{11} + \frac{\delta_0^{\frac{a+1}{2}} p \gamma_0^2}{a+1} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left[\int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} \int_{\partial Q_{\nu}} |u|^p (\nu - \delta)^a dS_{\nu} d\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\mu}^T dt \int_{\delta}^{\delta_0} \int_{\partial Q_{\nu}} |u|^{p-2} u_x^2 (\nu - \delta) dS_{\nu} d\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_0^{a+1} \gamma_0^2}{a+1} C_{11} + \\ &+ \delta_0^{\frac{a+1}{2}} \frac{p \gamma_0^2 \sqrt{\gamma_1}}{a+1} \left[\int_{\mu}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^a dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_D u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} r(x) dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last estimate it follows

$$(17) \quad \int_{\mu}^T \int_{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^a dx dt < C_{12}$$

for $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$ and $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$, C_{12} being a convenient positive constant.

Now (14), (15) and (17) imply the condition (11).

From the first part of the proof we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_t} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \varrho_{x_i} \varrho_{x_j} |u|^p dS_\delta dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_t} |u(\mu, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx = \\
 & = \frac{1}{p} \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j})_{x_i} |u|^p dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} b(t, x, u, u_x) u |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \\
 & + \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_t} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx + (p-1) \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (A), (B), (C) and the estimate (8) with $\varepsilon = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_t} |u|^p dS_\delta dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_t} |u(\mu, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx \leq \frac{C_1}{p} \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p dx dt + \\
 & + \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} f^p (\varrho - \delta)^p dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta)^a dx dt + 2L \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} |u|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \\
 & + L \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_{Q_t} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx + \\
 & + \gamma(p-1) \iint_{D_\delta^\mu} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Condition II and the assumption of the theorem imply

$$\int_Q |u(T, x)|^p r(x) dx < \infty.$$

Thus from assumption (C), (11), condition I and the last inequality it follows the boundness of the function $M(\mu, \delta)$ on $\left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right] \times (0, \delta_0]$.

Let now $\delta \in \left(\frac{\delta_0}{2}, \delta_0\right]$ and $\mu \in (0, \delta_0]$. A well known property (see [4]) of the traces is that for any function $h \in W_1^1(G)$

$$\|h\|_{L^1(R)} \leq K \|h_x\|_{L^1(G)},$$

where R is any submanifold of region G and constant K depends only on region G . Taking advantage of this fact we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_0} |u|^p (r - \delta) dS_\delta dt \leq \text{diam}(Q) \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\partial Q_0} |u|^p dS_\delta dt \leq \\
& \leq \text{diam}(Q) K \int_{\mu}^T \int_{\frac{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}}{2}} |u|^p_x dx dt \leq \text{diam}(Q) K p \int_0^T \int_{\frac{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}}{2}} |u|^{p-1} |u_x| dx dt \leq \\
& \leq \text{diam}(Q) K p \left[\int_0^T \int_{\frac{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}}{2}} u_x^2 |u|^{p-2} dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\frac{Q_{\delta_0} - Q_{\delta_0}}{2}} |u|^p dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from condition II and (17) if $\alpha = 0$ and $\delta = \frac{\delta_0}{2}$ we get that the first component of the function $M(\mu, \delta)$ is bounded. For the second component we have the simple estimate

$$\int_{Q_0} |u(\mu, x)|^p (r(x) - \delta) dx \leq \int_{\frac{Q_{\delta_0}}{2}} |u(\mu, x)|^p \left(r(x) - \frac{\delta_0}{2} \right) dx$$

so from the previous case we get that the function $M(\mu, \delta)$ is bounded in the region $(0, \delta_0] \times (0, \delta_0]$ what proves condition I. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

Let us define the functions $M(\delta) = M(\delta, \delta)$ and

$$\bar{M}(\delta) = \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q_0} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \varrho_{x_i} \varrho_{x_j} |u|^p ds_\delta dt + \int_{Q_0} |u(\delta, x)|^p (\varrho - \delta) dx.$$

The assumption (A) implies

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} M(\delta) \leq \bar{M}(\delta) \leq \varrho M(\delta).$$

From the results of Gagliardo [2] it follows that if $u \in W_{loc, p}^{1,1}(D)$ then the functions $M(\delta)$ and $\bar{M}(\delta)$ are absolutely continuous on $(0, \delta_0]$, (see [1]).

REMARK 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 condition I can be replaced by

III. $\bar{M}(\delta)$ is continuous on $[0, \delta_0]$

or

IV. $M(\delta)$ is bounded on $(0, \delta_0]$.

Indeed, condition I follows from III and (19). Using the Dominated and Monotone Convergence Theorems we imply from (18) that there exists $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \bar{M}(\delta)$, thus we proved condition III. Condition IV follows from (19).

Let us consider the space $L^p(\partial D)$ of all functions such that

$$\|f\|_p = \left[\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} |f(t, x)|^p dS_\delta dt + \int_Q |f(0, x)|^p r(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

For $p > 1$ the space L^p with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a reflexive Banach space and the space $L^{p'}$ is dual to L^p , where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Moreover the space L^2 is uniformly convex.

Let us denote

$$u_\delta(t, x) = \begin{cases} u(t, x_\delta(x)), & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \partial Q \\ u(\delta, x), & \text{for } (t, x) \in \{0\} \times Q, \end{cases}$$

where u is a solution of (1), and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$. Here the values of the function on the lower-dimensional manifold are understood as its trace on that manifold (see[9]).

THEOREM 2. *Let u be a weak solution of (1) for fixed $p \geq 2$ and $\iint_D u_t^2 |u|^{p-2} t^\beta dx dt < \infty$ for some $\beta < 1$. Assume one of the conditions I or II holds. Then there is a sequence $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and a function $\varphi \in L^p(\partial D)$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} (u(t, x_{\delta_k}(x)) - \varphi(t, x) g(t, x)) dS dt + \int_Q (u(\delta_k, x) - \varphi(0, x)) g(0, x) r(x) dx \right] = 0$$

for each $g \in L^{p'}(\partial D)$.

Proof. From condition I of Theorem 1 and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{13} &> \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} |u(t, x)|^p dS_\delta dt + \int_Q |u(\mu, x)|^p r(x) dx \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} |u(t, x_\delta(x))|^p dS dt + \int_Q |u(\mu, x)|^p r(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta, \mu \in (0, \delta_0]$ and some constant C_{13} .

Now taking $\delta = \mu$ we get $\|u_\delta\|_p < C_{13}$ for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$. Thus the set $\{u_\delta : \delta \in (0, \delta_0]\}$ is weak compact in $L^p(\partial D)$ and hence the result follows.

We need some lemmas in the following

LEMMA 1. *Let $u \in W_{loc, p}^{1,1}(D)$, $\alpha > -1$ and for some constant $\beta < 1$ $\iint_D u_t^2 |u|^{p-2} t^\beta dx dt < \infty$. Then there exists constants C_{14} and C_{15} such that*

$$(20) \quad \iint_D |u|^p (t - \delta)^\alpha dx dt < C_{14}$$

and

$$(21) \quad \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\delta, x)|^p dx < C_{15}$$

for $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right]$.

Proof. Integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iint_{D_\delta} |u|^p (t-\delta)^\alpha dx dt &= \frac{(t-\delta)^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \int_{Q_\delta} |u|^p dx \Big|_{t=\delta}^{t=T} - \iint_{D_\delta} \frac{(t-\delta)^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} (|u|^p)_t dx dt = \\
 &= \frac{(T-\delta)^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p dx - \frac{p}{\alpha+1} \iint_{D_\delta} (t-\delta)^{\alpha+1} |u|^{p-2} u u_t dx dt \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{T^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \int_{\Omega} |u(T, x)|^p dx + \frac{p}{\alpha+1} T^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} u_t^2 |u|^{p-2} (t-\delta) dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \\
 &\cdot \left[\iint_{D_\delta} |u|^{p-2} u^2 (t-\delta)^\alpha dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{T^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \int_Q |u(T, x)|^p dx + \\
 &+ \frac{p}{\alpha+1} T^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \left[\iint_D u_t^2 |u|^{p-2} t dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} |u|^p (t-\delta)^\alpha dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (20).

Condition (21) follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\delta, x)|^p dx &= \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p dx - \iint_{D_\delta} (|u|^p)_t dx dt = \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p dx - \\
 &- p \iint_{D_\delta} |u|^{p-2} u u_t dx dt \leq \int_Q |u(T, x)|^p dx + \\
 &+ p \left[\iint_{D_\delta} u_t^2 |u|^{p-2} (t-\delta)^\beta dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} |u|^p (t-\delta)^{-\beta} dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

at the basis of (20).

LEMMA 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 condition II implies

$$\iint_D u_x^2 r dx dt < \infty.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 condition II implies the boundedness of the function $\bar{M}(\delta) = \int_{\delta}^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} (u^2 + 1)^{\frac{p}{2}} dS dt$. Repeating the proof of the implication I \Rightarrow II of Theorem 1 with

$$v(t, x) = \begin{cases} u(u^2 + 1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\varrho - \delta), & \text{for } (t, x) \in D_\delta \\ 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \notin D_\delta \end{cases}$$

as a test function we obtain

$$\iint_D u_x^2 (u^2 + 1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} r dx dt < \infty$$

and the result follows.

Let us denote by $K(t, x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \varrho_{x_i}(x) \varrho_{x_j}(x)$. Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 the function

$$G(\delta) = \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} u(t, x_\delta(x)) g(t, x) K(t, x) dS dt + \int_Q u(\delta, x) g(0, x) \varrho(x) dx$$

is continuous on $[0, \delta_0]$ and

$$(22) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} G(\delta) = \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} \varphi(t, x) g(t, x) K(t, x) dS dt + \int_Q \varphi(0, x) g(0, x) \varrho(x) dx$$

for any function g in $\bar{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\partial D)$.

Proof. Of course, $G(\delta)$ is continuous on $(0, \delta_0]$ so it suffices to prove continuity at $\delta = 0$. Since $\|u_\delta\|_p < C_{13}$ for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ and elements of $C^1(\bar{D})$ restricted to ∂D are dense in $\bar{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\partial D)$ we can assume that there is a $\bar{g} \in C^1(\bar{D})$ such that $\bar{g}|_{\partial Q} = g$. From (2), taking $v = \bar{g}(\varrho - \delta)$ for $(t, x) \in D_\delta$ and $v = 0$ for $(t, x) \notin D_\delta$ as a test function we have

$$(23) \quad \iint_{D_\delta} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} \bar{g}_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} \bar{g} \varrho_{x_j} + b \bar{g} (\varrho - \delta) + u_t \bar{g} (\varrho - \delta) \right] dx dt = 0.$$

By the Green's formula we have

$$(24) \quad \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} \bar{g} \varrho_{x_j} dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \varrho_{x_i} \varrho_{x_j} u \bar{g} dS_\delta dt - \\ - \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j} \bar{g})_{x_i} u dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} u(t, x_\delta(x)) g(t, x) K(t, x) dS dt - \\ - \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} u(t, x_\delta(x)) \left[\frac{dS_\delta}{dS}(x_\delta(x)) \bar{g}(t, x_\delta(x)) K(t, x_\delta(x)) - g(t, x) K(t, x) \right] dS dt - \\ - \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j} \bar{g})_{x_i} u dx dt.$$

Integrating by parts the last term in (23) we get

$$(25) \quad \iint_{D_\delta} u_t \bar{g} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = \int_{Q_\delta} u(T, x) \bar{g}(T, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx - \\ - \int_{Q_\delta} u(\delta, x) \bar{g}(\delta, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx - \iint_{D_\delta} u \bar{g}_t (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = \\ = \int_{Q_\delta} u(T, x) \bar{g}(T, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx - \int_{Q_\delta} u(\delta, x) g(0, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx - \\ - \int_{Q_\delta} u(\delta, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) [\bar{g}(\delta, x) - g(0, x)] dx - \iint_{D_\delta} u \bar{g}_t (\varrho - \delta) dx dt.$$

From (23), (24) and (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\delta) = & \int_0^\delta \int_{\partial Q} u(t, x_\delta(x)) g(t, x) K(t, x) dS dt + \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u(\delta, x) g(0, x) \varrho(x) dx + \\
 (26) \quad & + \delta \int_{Q_\delta} u(\delta, x) g(0, x) dx + \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} \bar{y}_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt - \\
 & - \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} u(t, x_\delta(x)) \left[\frac{dS_\delta}{dS}(x_\delta(x)) \bar{y}(t, x_\delta(x)) K(t, x_\delta(x)) - g(t, x) K(t, x) \right] dS dt - \\
 & - \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j} \bar{y})_{x_i} u dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta} b \bar{y} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \int_{Q_\delta} u(T, x) \bar{y}(T, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx - \\
 & - \iint_{D_\delta} u \bar{y}_t (\varrho - \delta) dx dt - \int_{Q_\delta} u(\delta, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) [\bar{y}(\delta, x) - g(0, x)] dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the integrals on the right side of (26) respectively by J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{10} . We have the following estimates

$$|J_1| \leq \left[\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} |u(t, x_\delta(x))|^p dS dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\delta \int_{\partial Q} |gK|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dS dt \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$$

and

$$|J_2| \leq \left[\int_Q |u(\delta, x)|^p \varrho(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{Q-Q_\delta} |g(0, x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \varrho(x) dx \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$$

so condition I implies

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} J_1 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} J_2 = 0.$$

Similarly from (4), Lemma 1 and uniform continuity of the functions K and \bar{y} we get

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} J_3 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} J_5 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} J_{10} = 0.$$

Continuity at $\delta = 0$ of J_6 follows from the integrability of u .

Applying assumption (C) and the result of Lemma 1 we can easily show that other integrals have the integrable majorants independent of δ and the integrands are continuous for almost all $(t, x) \in D$ or $x \in Q$ respectively, thus from the Monotone and Dominated Convergence Theorems follows their continuity at $\delta = 0$. So we proved the continuity of $G(\delta)$ on $[0, \delta_0]$.

Now, the equality (22) is a simple consequence of Theorem 2.

Let us define the following norm in $\tilde{L}^p(\partial D)$

$$\|f\|_p^1 = \left[\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} |f(t, x)|^p K(t, x) dS dt + \int_Q |f(0, x)|^p \varrho(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $\gamma^{-1} \leq K(t, x) \leq \gamma$ and $\gamma_1^{-1} r(x) \leq \varrho(x) \leq \gamma_1 r(x)$ it follows that the norm $\|\cdot\|_p^1$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ in $\bar{L}^p(\partial D)$. Thus Lemma 3 implies the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 u_δ weakly converges in $\bar{L}^p(\partial)$ to the function φ , as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, where φ is defined in Theorem 2.*

3. Strong convergence. We begin with a theorem on L^2 -convergence.

For $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ we can extend the mapping $x_\delta: \partial Q \rightarrow \partial Q_\delta$ on $Q - Q_\delta$ in such a way that for $x \in Q - Q_\delta$ we have $x_\delta(x) = x_\delta(x')$, where $x' \in \partial Q$ and $x' - x = \eta \nu(x')$ for some $\eta \in (0, \delta]$. Now we can define the mapping $x^\delta: Q \rightarrow Q_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ by

$$x^\delta(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } x \in Q_\delta, \\ x_\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_\delta(x)), & \text{for } x \in Q - Q_\delta. \end{cases}$$

Thus $x^\delta(x) = x$ for each $x \in Q_\delta$ and $x^\delta(x) = x_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x)$ for each $x \in \partial Q$. Moreover $\varrho(x^\delta) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\gamma_2^{-1} \leq |J_{x^\delta}(x)| \leq \gamma_2$, where constant γ_2 is independent of δ and $J_{x^\delta}(x)$ is the Jacobian of the mapping $x^\delta(\cdot)$.

Let us denote

$$t^\delta(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{for } t \in [\delta, T], \\ \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}\delta, & \text{for } t \in [0, \delta]. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 4. *Let h be a non-negative function in $L^1\left(D_{\frac{\delta}{2}} - D_\delta\right)$. Then*

$$(27) \quad \iint_{D - D_\delta} h(t^\delta, x^\delta) dx dt \leq \max(2\gamma_2, 2) \iint_{D_{\frac{\delta}{2}} - D_\delta} h(t, x) dx dt$$

and if $h \in L^1(D)$ then $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{D - D_\delta} h(t^\delta, x^\delta) dx dt = 0$.

Proof. By change of variables we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{D - D_\delta} h(t^\delta, x^\delta) dx dt &= \int_\delta^T \int_{Q - Q_\delta} h(t, x^\delta(x)) dx dt + \int_0^\delta \int_{Q - Q_\delta} h(t^\delta, x^\delta) dx dt + \\ &+ \int_0^\delta \int_{Q_\delta} h(t^\delta(t), x) dx dt = \int_\delta^T \int_{x^\delta(Q - Q_\delta)} h(t, x) J_{x^\delta}^{-1}(x) dx dt + \\ &+ 2 \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta \int_{x^\delta(Q - Q_\delta)} h(t, x) J_{x^\delta}^{-1}(x) dx dt + 2 \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta \int_{Q_\delta} h(t, x) dx dt \leq \gamma_2 \int_\delta^T \int_{Q_{\frac{\delta}{2}} - Q_\delta} h dx dt + \\ &+ 2\gamma_2 \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta \int_{Q_{\frac{\delta}{2}} - Q_\delta} h dx dt + 2 \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta \int_{Q_\delta} h dx dt \leq \max(2\gamma_2, 2) \iint_{D_{\frac{\delta}{2}} - D_\delta} h dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now the second part of the assertion is obvious by the well known property of integral.

THEOREM 4. Let u be a weak solution of (1) for $p = 2$, $\iint_D u_t^2 t^\delta dx dt < \infty$ for some $\beta < 1$ and let one of conditions I or II hold for $p = 2$. Then there is a function φ belonging to $L^2(\partial D)$ such that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} u_\delta = \varphi \text{ strong in } L^2(\partial D).$$

Proof. As $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_2^1$ are equivalent it suffices to show that there is a $\varphi \in L^2(\partial D)$ such that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|\varphi - u_\delta\|_2^1 = 0$. By Theorem 3 there is a $\varphi \in L^2(\partial D)$ such that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} u_\delta = \varphi$ weakly in L^2 . Since $L^2(\partial D)$ is uniformly convex it suffices to show that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_\delta\|_2^1 = \|\varphi\|_2^1$.

Let us denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the inner product $L^2(\partial D)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_2^1$ and

$$\psi(g) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} g_{x_j} \varrho - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_i} g)_{x_j} u + b g \varrho - u g_t \varrho.$$

Observe that if $u \in W_{loc,p}^{1,1}(D)$ then $u(t^\delta, x^\delta) \in W_p^{1,1}(D)$, thus, as in the proof of Lemma 3 (see [26]), we find that

$$\langle \varphi, g \rangle = \iint_D \psi(g) dx dt + \int_Q u(T, x) g(T, x) \varrho(x) dx$$

for any $g \in C^1(\bar{D})$ and hence for any $g \in W_p^{1,1}(D)$.

Taking $g = u(t^\delta, x^\delta)$ we obtain

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, u(t^\delta, x^\delta) \rangle &= \iint_{D_\delta} \psi(u(t, x)) dx dt + \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) \varrho(x) dx + \\ &+ \iint_{D-D_\delta} \psi(u(t^\delta, x^\delta(x))) dx dt + \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u(T, x) u(T, x^\delta(x)) \varrho(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

as $x^\delta(x) = x$ and $t^\delta(t) = t$ for $x \in Q_\delta$ and $t \in [\delta, T]$.

We show that

$$(29) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{D-D_\delta} \psi(u(t^\delta, x^\delta)) dx dt = 0$$

$$(30) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u(T, x) u(T, x^\delta(x)) \varrho(x) dx = 0$$

and

$$(31) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} \psi(u(t, x)) dx dt + \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) \varrho(x) dx \right] = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (\|u_\delta\|_2^1)^2.$$

From Theorem 3 we have that

$$(\|\varphi\|_2^1)^2 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \langle \varphi, u(t^\delta, x^\delta) \rangle$$

because $x^\delta(x) = x_\delta(x)$ on ∂Q and $t^\delta(0) = \frac{1}{2}\delta$, so from (28)—(31) it follows that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_\delta\|_2^1 = \|\varphi\|_2^1$ as required.

To prove (29)—(31) set

$$v(t, x) = \begin{cases} u(t, x) (\varrho - \delta), & \text{for } (t, x) \in D_\delta, \\ 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in D - D_\delta \end{cases}$$

in equation (2) and thus we obtain

$$(32) \quad \iint_{D_\delta} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{\varrho_{x_j}} + bu(\varrho - \delta) + u_t u (\varrho - \delta) \right] dx dt = 0.$$

Condition II and equality

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = \iint_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} \varrho dx dt$$

imply

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} dx dt = 0.$$

Similarly using (11), Hölder's inequality we obtain that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta \iint_{D_\delta} bu dx dt = 0.$$

From the assumption of the theorem and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \delta \iint_{D_\delta} uu_t dx dt \right| &\leq \delta \iint_{D_\delta} u^2 dx dt + \delta \iint_{D_\delta} u_t^2 dx dt \leq \\ &\leq \delta \iint_D u^2 dx dt + \delta^{1-\beta} \iint_{D_\delta} t^\beta u_t^2 dx dt \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta \iint_{D_\delta} uu_t dx dt = 0.$$

Hence and from (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} \psi(u) dx dt + \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) \varrho(x) dx \right] = \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} \left[- \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{\varrho_{x_j}} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j} u)_{x_i} u - 2u u_t (\varrho - \delta) \right] dx dt + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx \right] = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[- \iint_D \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} u_{x_i} \varrho_{x_j} u^2)_{x_i} dx dt - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int \int_{D_\delta} (u^2)_t (\varrho - \delta) \, dx dt + \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) \, dx \Big] = \\
& = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_\delta^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) K(t, x) \, dS dt + \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(\delta, x) (\varrho(x) - \delta) \, dx \right] = \\
& = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} u^2(t, x_\delta(x)) K(t, x) \, dS dt + \int_Q u^2(\delta, x) \varrho(x) \, dx + \right. \\
& + \int_\delta^T \int_{\partial Q} u^2(t, x_\delta(x)) [K(t, x_\delta(x)) - K(t, x)] \, dx dt - \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u^2(\delta, x) \varrho(x) \, dx - \\
& \left. - \delta \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(\delta, x) \, dx - \int_0^\delta \int_{\partial Q} u^2(t, x_\delta(x)) K(t, x) \, dS dt \right] = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (\|u_\delta\|_2^1)^2
\end{aligned}$$

because the four last terms tend to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. So we proved (31).

It follows from assumptions (B) and (C) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(33) \quad & \left| \int \int_{D-D_\delta} \psi(u(t^\delta, x^\delta)) \, dx dt \right| \leq C_{16} \int \int_{D-D_\delta} [|u_x| |u_x(t^\delta, x^\delta)| \varrho + |u| |u(t^\delta, x^\delta)| + \\
& + |u| |u_x(t^\delta, x^\delta)| + f |u(t^\delta, x^\delta)| \varrho + |u| |u(t^\delta, x^\delta)| \varrho + |u_x| |u(t^\delta, x^\delta)| \varrho + \\
& + |u| |u_t(t^\delta, x^\delta)| \varrho] \, dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_{16} independent of δ . Let us denote the integrals on right respectively by P_1, P_2, \dots, P_7 .

Since $\varrho(x^\delta(x)) \geq \varrho(x)$ for $x \in Q$ we have

$$P_1^2(\delta) \leq \int \int_{D-D_\delta} u_x^2 \varrho \, dx dt \int \int_{D-D_\delta} u_x^2(t^\delta, x^\delta) \varrho(x^\delta) \, dx dt$$

thus from condition II and Lemma 4 we get that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_1(\delta) = 0$.

Condition (11) implies $u \in L^2(D)$ thus quite similarly as above we obtain that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_2(\delta) = 0$ and since $P_5(\delta) \leq \sup_{x \in Q} \varrho(x) P_2(\delta)$, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_5(\delta) = 0$, too.

We have the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned}
(34) \quad & \int \int_{D-D_\delta} u^2 \, dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u^2 \, dx dt + \int_0^\delta \int_{Q_\delta} u^2 \, dx dt = \\
& = \int_0^T dt \int_0^\delta ds \int_{\partial Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dS dt + \int_0^\delta dt \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dx \leq \\
& \leq \delta \sup_{s \in (0, \delta]} \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dS dt + \delta \sup_{t \in (0, \delta]} \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dx \leq \\
& \leq \delta \left[\sup_{s \in (0, \delta]} \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dS dt + \sup_{t \in (0, \delta]} \int_{Q_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dx \right]
\end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 2 and conditions (3) and (21) of Lemma 1.

Since $\varrho(x^\delta(x)) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ we have

$$P_3(\delta) \leq \iint_{D-D_\delta} |u_x(t^\delta, x^\delta)| \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}(x^\delta(x)) \frac{|u|}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sqrt{2} \, dx dt$$

thus by Hölder's inequality we get

$$P_3^2(\delta) \leq \iint_{D-D_\delta} u_x^2(t^\delta, x^\delta) \varrho(x^\delta(x)) \, dx dt \frac{2}{\delta} \iint_{D-D_\delta} u^2(t, x) \, dx dt$$

so $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_3(\delta) = 0$ by (34) and Lemma 4. Using Hölder's inequality we have

$$P^2(\delta) \leq \iint_{D-D_\delta} \frac{u^2(t^\delta, x^\delta)}{\varrho(x)^\theta} \, dx dt \iint_{D-D_\delta} f^2 \varrho^\theta \, dx dt$$

thus $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_4(\delta) = 0$ by assumption (C), and Lemma 4.

In the same way we get

$$P_6^2(\delta) \leq \iint_{D-D_\delta} \varrho u_x^2 \, dx dt \iint_{D-D_\delta} u^2(t^\delta, x^\delta) \, dx dt \sup_{x \in Q} \varrho(x)$$

thus $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_6(\delta) = 0$ by Lemma 4 and condition II.

Since $t^\delta \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_7^2(\delta) &\leq \left[\iint_{D-D_\delta} |u_t(t^\delta, x^\delta)| (t^\delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} |u| \, dx dt \sup_{x \in Q} \varrho(x) \right]^2 \left(\frac{2}{\delta} \right)^\beta \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{x \in Q} \varrho(x) \right)^2 \iint_{D-D_\delta} u_t^2(t^\delta, x^\delta) (t^\delta)^\beta \, dx dt \left(\frac{2}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \iint_{D-D_\delta} u^2 \, dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} P_7(\delta) = 0$ by Lemma 4, assumption of this theorem and condition (34), as $1 - \frac{\beta}{2} > 0$. Thus we proved the condition (29).

Condition (30) follows from the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\int_{Q-Q_\delta} u(T, x) u(T, x^\delta(x)) \varrho(x) \, dx \right]^2 \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{x \in Q} \varrho(x) \right)^2 \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) \, dx \cdot \gamma_2 \int_{Q_{\frac{\delta}{2}}-Q_\delta} u^2(T, x) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and the fact that $u(T, \cdot) \in L^2(Q)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

In the case $p > 2$ we begin with the following result.

LEMMA 5. Let u be a weak solution of (1) satisfying one of conditions I or II for a fixed $p > 2$ and $\iint u_t^2 (|u|^{p-2} + 1) t^\beta dx dt < \infty$ for some $\beta < 1$. Then u_δ converges to φ in $\bar{L}^q(\partial D)$ for each q , where $0 < q < p$. The function φ is defined in Theorem 2.

Proof. First we note that u_δ converges weakly to φ in $L^p(\partial D)$ by Theorem 2. We shall show that u_δ converges to φ in $\bar{L}^2(\partial D)$.

Let $\alpha(\theta) = 0$ for $p \leq \theta < \frac{3}{2}p$, $\alpha(\theta) = \frac{\theta-1-p}{p}$ for $\frac{3}{2} \leq \theta < 2p-1$ and $\chi(\theta) = \frac{2}{p}\theta - \alpha(\theta)$. For θ and χ such that $p \leq \theta < 2p-1$ and $2 \leq \chi < 3$ we have

$$\iint_D f^2 \varrho^\chi dx dt \leq \left[\iint_D f^p \varrho^\theta dx dt \right]^{\frac{2}{p}} \left[\iint_D \varrho^{-\frac{p\alpha}{p-2}} dx dt \right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}} < \infty$$

by assumption (C) as $\frac{p\alpha}{p-2} < 1$.

Since $W_{loc,p}^{1,1}(D) \subset W_{loc,2}^{1,1}(D)$, u is a weak solution of (1) for $p = 2$. By Lemma 2, condition II is fulfilled with $p = 2$. Thus we can use the result of Theorem 4. Hence u_δ converges to some function $\bar{\varphi}$ in $\bar{L}^2(\partial D)$ so $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}$ a.e.

For measurable sets $A \subset \partial D$ and s satisfying $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{q}{p} = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |u_\delta - \varphi|^q &\leq |A|^s \left[\int_A |u_\delta - \varphi|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq |A|^s \left[\left[\int_{\partial D} |u_\delta|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[\int_{\partial D} |\varphi|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^q \\ &\leq |A|^s (\|u_\delta\|_p + \|\varphi\|_p)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u_\delta - \varphi$ is equi-absolutely integrable and bounded in $\bar{L}^q(\partial D)$ so it is compact for δ such that $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$. Now for any sequence $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ there is a subsequence $\delta_k^1 \rightarrow 0^+$ with $u_{\delta_k^1} - \varphi \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and the result follows.

To prove L^p -convergence we shall need the following theorem on Nemytsky Operators (see [10], p. 155).

THEOREM. If $f(t, x, u)$, defined on $\partial D \times R$, satisfies Carathéodory conditions, conditions (i) and (ii) of assumption (C) and

$$|f(t, x, u)| \leq g(t, x) + K|u|^{\frac{s}{t}},$$

where $g \in \bar{L}^t(\partial D)$, $1 \leq s, t < \infty$ and K is a positive constant, then f generates a continuous operator from $\bar{L}^s(\partial D)$ into $\bar{L}^t(\partial D)$ given by the formula

$$h : u(\cdot, \cdot) \rightarrow f(\cdot, \cdot, u(\cdot, \cdot)).$$

This operator is called the Nemytsky Operator.

We now establish the following L^p -convergence theorem.

THEOREM 5. Let u be a weak solution of (1) satisfying one of conditions I or II for fixed $p > 2$, $\iint_D (u_t^2(|u|^{p-2} + 1) t^\beta dx dt)$ for some $\beta < 1$, then u_δ converges to the function φ in $\bar{L}^p(\partial D)$.

Proof. Let us denote by u^δ the trace of the composition $u(t^\delta(t), x^\delta(x))$ on ∂D . It is clear that $u^\delta = u_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$.

We begin with the following

REMARK. If u^δ is bounded in $\bar{L}^p(\partial D)$ and $u^\delta \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\bar{L}^q(\partial D)$ for $q < p$ then $u^\delta |u^\delta|^{p-2} \rightarrow \varphi |\varphi|^{p-2}$ weakly in $\bar{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\partial D)$. This means that the mapping given by the formula

$$f(t, x, u^\delta) = u^\delta |u^\delta|^{p-2}$$

is continuous from $\bar{L}^q(\partial D)$ to $\bar{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\partial D)$ by Theorem on Nemytsky Operators.

Hence

$$u^\delta |u^\delta|^{p-2} \rightarrow \varphi |\varphi|^{p-2} \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+$$

in $\bar{L}^{\frac{q}{p-1}}(\partial D)$, where we take $\frac{q}{p-1} > 1$. Also $u^\delta |u^\delta|^{p-2}$ is bounded in $\bar{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\partial D)$ and so it is weakly compact and the result follows.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. For every $g \in W_{\frac{p}{p-1}}^{1,1}(D)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} g K dS dt + \int_Q \varphi(0, x) g(0, x) \varrho(x) dx = \\ & = \iint_D \psi(g) dx dt + \int_Q u(T, x) g(T, x) \varrho(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

since $u^\delta \rightarrow \varphi$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ weakly in $\bar{L}^p(\partial D)$ (see the proof of Lemma 3).

Set $g = u(t^\delta, x^\delta) |u(t^\delta, x^\delta)|^{p-2}$ in the above equality and noting that $u(t^\delta, x^\delta) = u$ on D_δ and $u^\delta |u^\delta|^{p-2} \rightarrow \varphi |\varphi|^{p-2}$ weakly in $\bar{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\partial D)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\varphi\|_p^1)^p &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\partial Q} \varphi u^\delta |u^\delta|^{p-2} K dS dt + \right. \\ &+ \int_Q \varphi(0, x) u^\delta(0, x) |u^\delta(0, x)|^{p-2} \varrho(x) dx = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} \psi(u |u|^{p-2}) dx dt + \right. \\ (36) \quad &+ \left. \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p \varrho(x) dx \right] + \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\iint_{D-D_\delta} \psi(u(t^\delta, x^\delta) |u(t^\delta, x^\delta)|^{p-2}) dx dt + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{Q-Q_\delta} u(T, x) u(T, x^\delta(x)) |u(T, x^\delta(x))|^{p-2} \varrho(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$v = \begin{cases} u|u|^{p-2}(\varrho - \delta), & \text{for } (t, x) \in D_\delta, \\ 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in D - D_\delta \end{cases}$$

in (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} (u|u|^{p-2})_{x_j} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u|u|^{p-2} \varrho_{x_j} dx dt + \\ & + \iint_{D_\delta} b u|u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \iint_{D_\delta} u_t u|u|^{p-2} (\varrho - \delta) dx dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4 it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta \iint_{D_\delta} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} (u|u|^{p-2})_{x_j} + b u|u|^{p-2} + u_t u|u|^{p-2} \right] dx dt + \\ & + \delta \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\iint_{D_\delta} \psi(u|u|^{p-2}) dx dt + \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p \varrho(x) dx \right] = \\ & = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[- \iint_{D_\delta} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \varrho_{x_j} u|u|^p)_{x_i} dx dt - \iint_{D_\delta} (|u|^p)_t (\varrho - \delta) dx dt + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{Q_\delta} |u(T, x)|^p (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx \right] = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_{\delta}^T \int_{Q_\delta} |u|^p K dS dt + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{Q_\delta} |u(\delta, x)|^p (\varrho(x) - \delta) dx \right] = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (\|u_\delta\|_p^1)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to show that second component on the right of (36) tends to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. It is easily seen that this integrand can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & K(|u_x| |u_x(\delta)| |u(\delta)|^{p-2} \varrho + |u| |u(\delta)|^{p-1} + |u| |u_x(\delta)| |u(\delta)|^{p-2} + \\ & + |f| |u(\delta)|^{p-1} \varrho + |u| |u(\delta)|^{p-1} \varrho + |u_x| |u(\delta)|^{p-1} \varrho + |u| |u_t(\delta)| |u(\delta)|^{p-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where K is a suitable constant and we denote $u(\delta) = u(t^\delta, x^\delta)$.

Estimation of the integrals of the first, second, fourth, fifth and sixth terms is similar to the previous calculations (see the proof of Theorem 4).

We have the following inequality

$$abc^{p-2} \leq \text{const} (a^p + b^2 c^{p-2} + c^p)$$

for each positive a, b, c and $p > 2$.

Set $a = |u|$, $b = |u_x(\delta)|$ or $b = |u_t(\delta)|$ and $c = |u(\delta)|$. Now we can estimate the third and seventh terms analogously as in the proof of Theorem 4. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. CHABROWSKI, B. THOMPSON, *On traces of solutions of a quasilinear partial differential equation of elliptic type*, Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, Brisbane 1979.
- [2] E. GAGLIARDO, *Proprieta di alcuni classi di funzioni in piuvariabili*, *Ricerche Mat.* 7 (1958), 102—137.
- [3] D. GILBARG, N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1977.
- [4] A. KUFNER, O. JOHN, S. FUČIK, *Function spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing Leyden and Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague 1977.
- [5] M. MARCUS, V. J. MIZEL, *Absolute continuity on tracks and mappings of Sobolev spaces*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 45 (1972), 294—320.
- [6] M. MARCUS, V. J. MIZEL, *Nemytsky operators on Sobolev spaces*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 51 (1973), 347—370.
- [7] W. P. MIHAILOV, *Boundary values of solutions of elliptic equations in the ball*, *Mat. SC.* 100 142 (1976), 1—13.
- [8] W. P. MIHAILOV, *Boundary values of solutions of elliptic equations in a domain with smooth boundary*, *Mat. SC.* 101 143 (1976), 163—188.
- [9] W. P. MIHAILOV, *Partial Differential Equations*, Moskwa 1976.
- [10] M. M. VAINBERG, *Variational methods for the study of non-linear operators*, Holden-Day, Inc. San Francisco, London, Amsterdam (1964).